

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,207	10/27/2003	Ekambar R. Kandimalla	HYB-005US7	3842
WAYNE A. KI	7590 04/18/2007 EOWN	EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUITE 1200	NAMES DADE	LE, EMILY M		
500 WEST CUMMINGS PARK WOBURN, MA 01801			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		04/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/694,207	KANDIMALLA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Emily Le	1648			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	, · ·				
	Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Se</u>	eptember 2005.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-54</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{26-54}$ are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner			
. ,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/694,207 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Per Applicant's 09/06/2005 submission, claims 1-25 are cancelled and claims 39-54 are added. Hence, claims 26-54 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 31 and 44, drawn to a method of treating a patient having a disease caused by a virus, classified in class 424, subclass 204.1.
 - II. Claims 32 and 45, drawn to a method of treating a patient having a disease caused by a parasite, classified in class 424, subclass 265.1.
 - III. Claims 33 and 46, drawn to a method of treating a patient having a disease caused by a bacterium, classified in class 424, subclass 234.1.
 - IV. Claims 34-35 and 47-49, drawn to a method of treating a cancer patient, classified in class 424, subclass 277.1.
 - V. Claims 36-37 and 50-52, drawn to a method of treating an autoimmune disorder, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.
 - VI. Claims 38 and 53-54, drawn to a method of treating airway inflammation, classified in class 424, subclass 275.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I-VI are related with the administration of an immunostimulatory oligonucleotide. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of

Application/Control Number: 10/694,207

Art Unit: 1648

operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have materially different design. As demonstrated above in the listing of the inventions, Groups I-VI, the material design of Group I involves a patient having a disease caused by a virus, the material design of Group III involves a patient having a disease caused by a parasite, the material design of Group III involves a patient having a disease caused by a bacterium, of Group IV involves a cancer patient, the material design of Group V involves a patient having an autoimmune disorder, and the material design of Group VI involves a patient having airway inflammation. Thus, because of this noted material design, a different field of search would be necessary. Hence, a restriction is appropriate. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Page 3

- 4. In the instant case, because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Claims 26-29 and 39-41 link(s) inventions I-VI. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking claim(s). Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions **shall** be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined

Art Unit: 1648

and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

It should further be noted that claims 30 and 42-43 also link the inventions listed as Groups I-III.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,207

Art Unit: 1648

Page 5

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Le whose telephone number is (571) 272 0903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce R. Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,207

Art Unit: 1648

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patent Examiner 4/14/02

Art Unit 1648

E.Le